# **ORDER SHEET** WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag & The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

### Case No - <u>OA 225 OF 2017</u>

#### **Pinaki Dey** $\underline{Vs}$ The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Carriel National	Ouder of the Triburg builth sing store	Office action with date
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	and dated signature
Date of order.	2	of parties when necessary
1	For the Arriteant . Mr. A. Denerica	3
05	<b>For the Applicant</b> : Mr. A. Banerjee, Mr. B. Pramanick,	
12.04.2018	Learned Advocates.	
	For the Bernardonta . Mr. C. P. Penerica	
	<b>For the Respondents</b> : Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Learned Advocate.	
	The applicant has prayed for direction upon the	
	respondents to give appointment to the applicant on	
	compassionate ground after setting aside order dated	
	October 25, 2016 issued by the respondent no. 1, by	
	which prayer of the applicant for compassionate	
	appointment was rejected.	
	It appears from the materials on record that one	
	Biswanath Dey died on October 17, 1998 while he was	
	working as Head Constable. The wife of the deceased	
	employee Shrimati Maya Dey submitted application for	
	compassionate appointment on December 7, 1998,	
	Shrimati Maya Dey was found physically unfit to be	
	posted as Lady Constable on March 3, 2000.	
	Subsequently, Shrimati Maya Dey was asked by the	
	authority concerned to appear in the test for	
	employment but she expressed her unwillingness.	
	Ultimately, Shrimati Maya Dey submitted application	
	for compassionate appointment of her son who was 12	
	years old at the relevant point of time. The application	
	submitted by the applicant being the son of the	
	deceased employee was considered and the same was	

# **ORDER SHEET**

#### Pinaki Dey

Form No.

# Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA 225 OF 2017

rejected by the reasoned order dated October 25, 2016.

Learned Counsel for the applicant has challenged the reasoned order by submitting that the recommendation of the name of the applicant for compassionate appointment by DIG & IG of the Department have not been considered by the respondent no. 1. The further submission of Learned Counsel is that the case of the applicant should have been considered as a special case for giving appointment on compassionate ground. On the other Learned Counsel representing state hand, the respondents submits that there was no financial crisis in the family of the deceased employee as the wife of the deceased employee expressed her unwillingness for any job in the department.

Having heard Learned Counsel representing both parties and on consideration of the reasoned order passed by the respondent no. 1 on October 25, 2016, we find that prayer of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected as there was no need of immediate financial assistance in the family of the deceased employee. We do not find any cogent reason to consider the case of the applicant as a special case as contended by Learned Counsel for the applicant. The present applicant was about 9 years old when his father died on October 17, 1998. Had there been acute financial crisis in the family of the deceased employee,

## ORDER SHEET

#### Pinaki Dey

Form No.

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

#### Case No. OA 225 OF 2017

the wife of the deceased employee would have expressed her willingness to get any job in the department for survival of the members of the family. The object of giving compassionate appointment is to tide over acute financial crisis caused by sudden and premature death of the Government employee while in service. The fact of submission of the application by the present applicant on attaining majority after lapse of almost 9 years from the date of death of his father clearly supports the views taken by the respondent no. 1 that there was no need of immediate financial crisis in the family of the deceased employee. We do not find any reason to interfere in the reasoned order passed by the respondent no. 1 on October 25, 2016. In view of our above findings, the original application is **dismissed**. Let a plain copy of this order be supplied to both parties. (S.K. DAS) (R. K. BAG) MEMBER(A) MEMBER (J) Sanjib